Fierce Competition between *Toxoplasma* and *Chlamydia* for Host Cell Structures in Dually Infected Cells
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The prokaryote *Chlamydia trachomatis* and the protozan *Toxoplasma gondii*, two obligate intracellular pathogens of humans, have evolved a similar *modus operandi* to colonize their host cell and salvage nutrients from organelles. In order to gain fundamental knowledge on the pathogenicity of these microorganisms, we have established a cell culture model whereby single fibroblasts are coinfected by *C. trachomatis* and *T. gondii*. We previously reported that the two pathogens compete for the same nutrient pools in coinfected cells and that *Toxoplasma* holds a significant competitive advantage over *Chlamydia*. Here we have expanded our coinfection studies by examining the respective abilities of *Chlamydia* and *Toxoplasma* to co-opt the host cytoskeleton and recruit organelles. We demonstrate that the two pathogen-containing vacuoles migrate independently to the host perinuclear region and rearrange the host microtubular network around each vacuole. However, *Toxoplasma* outcompetes *Chlamydia* to the host microtubule-organizing center to the detriment of the bacterium, which then shifts to a stress-induced persistent state. Solely in cells preinfected with *Chlamydia*, the centrosomes become associated with the chlamydial inclusion, while the *Toxoplasma* parasitophorous vacuole displays growth defects. Both pathogens fragment the host Golgi apparatus and recruit Golgi elements to retrieve sphingolipids. This study demonstrates that the productive infection by both *Chlamydia* and *Toxoplasma* depends on the capability of each pathogen to successfully adhere to a finely tuned developmental program that aims to remodel the host cell for the pathogen’s benefit. In particular, this investigation emphasizes the essentiality of host organelle interception by intravacuolar pathogens to facilitate access to nutrients.

Obligate intracellular pathogens that infect mammals include all viruses, some bacteria such as *Chlamydia* and *Rickettsia* spp., and protozoa such as *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Trypanosoma cruzi*. To survive, these pathogens have mastered maintaining a delicate balance between exploiting and preserving the cells of their host: they consume host nutrients from the intracellular space that they occupy while keeping host cell integrity in order to sustain intracellular growth. Many obligate intracellular pathogens reside in a vacuolar compartment that represents a relatively safe niche that offers protection from hostile cytosolic innate immune-surveillance pathways and potent inflammatory signaling cascades (1). Intravacuolar pathogens have developed unique processes to invade cells and establish a safe vacuole (2). The origin and composition of the pathogen-containing compartments are largely dictated by the mode of host cell entry and the specificity of the host-pathogen interaction. Vacuolar compartments may be created *de novo* by the pathogen itself or be derived from host endocytic membranes that are further modified by insertion of microbial factors to become resistant to lysosomal fusion and destruction. Once in a vacuolar compartment, the pathogens need to divert host cell components and co-opt host cell pathways in order to have access to nutrient pools and consequently multiply (3).

*Chlamydia trachomatis* is an obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacterium that infects a wide range of cell types in humans, with some preference for mucosal epithelial cells. Chlamydial infections are the most common bacterial sexually transmitted infections in humans and are the leading cause of infectious blindness worldwide (4). At the cellular level, *C. trachomatis* invades cells within 10 min by a specialized form of endocytosis involving chlamydial adhesins and host cell receptors (5, 6), differentiates into a replicate form at ~30 min postinfection (p.i.), and at ~3 h p.i. multiplies in its vacuole, termed the inclusion. From 4 h p.i., *C. trachomatis*-infected mammalian cells undergo dramatic modifications inflicted by the bacterium. These include the remodeling of the host cytoskeleton, e.g., microtubules (7, 8), the recruitment of the host microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) (9, 10), and the attraction of host organelles, e.g., Golgi vesicles and endocytic structures, in order to acquire lipids required for their growth (11–20). As a prototypic obligate intracellular protozoan organism, *Toxoplasma gondii* is adapted for invasion and multiplication in any nucleated mammalian cell (21). This protozoan parasite (referred to here as “parasite”) causes life-threatening disease in immunocompromised individuals and is responsible for lethal encephalitis in these patients (22). *T. gondii* actively invades cells within 1 min, creates its own membrane-bound compartment named the parasitophorous vacuole (PV), and immediately undertakes rounds of division every 7 h. Like *C. trachomatis*, *Toxoplasma* is also notorious for its ability to extensively modify its host cell and does so in a manner strikingly similar to that reported for *C. trachomatis*, despite differences in the origin and composition of the inclusion and the PV (22–28). This functional similarity between these two disparate pathogens may be viewed as an example of convergent evolution across phylogenetic domains. Unlike *C. trachomatis*, *Toxoplasma* recruits host mitochondria that
associate with the PV membrane (PVM) (29, 30). Interestingly, the more invasive Chlamydia psittaci species also recruits host mitochondria around its inclusion (31).

In a previous study, we have established an in vitro cell culture model whereby single fibroblasts were infected by C. trachomatis and Toxoplasma simultaneously (32). In a coinfection system, there is a balance between the success and failure of an infection established by a pathogen that depends on the skills of the pathogen to adhere to its normal developmental program. We showed that a single fibroblast could harbor both chlamydiae and Toxoplasma and that the two pathogens resided in distinct compartments. Toxoplasma held a significant competitive edge over Chlamydia in coinfected cells, as it was able to divert nutrients to the PV with the same efficiency as in monocultures. Consequently, the infectious cycle of the Toxoplasma progressed unimpeded. In contrast, Chlamydia lost the ability to scavenge essential nutrients during coinfection, and the bacterium shifted to a stress-induced persistent mode of growth as a result from being barred from its normal nutrient supplies. Competition between the parasite and the bacterium was further documented by coinfecting with C. trachomatis and slow-growing strains of Toxoplasma or a mutant impaired in nutrient acquisition, whereby chlamydiae developed unaugmented. Likewise, in a cell preinfected for 2 days with Chlamydia prior to infection with Toxoplasma, the parasite replicated very slowly. Finally, the tables were turned on Toxoplasma since the parasite’s development was arrested in cells coinfected with Toxoplasma and a very virulent strain of Chlamydia psittaci.

In this study, we expand our understanding of cell biological events underlying the interactions of Chlamydia and Toxoplasma with their mammalian host cell. Specifically, we questioned whether the co-occurrence of the two pathogens in the same cell does interfere with the innate ability of each to remodel the host cell interior to its own advantage. To provide insight into the cellular events that take place in a dually infected cell, we have examined the distribution of host cell structures relative to the chlamydial inclusion and the PV of Toxoplasma. We show that the host cell undergoes extensive modifications when coinfected by the parasite and the bacterium, as cellular organelles are relocated to the inclusion and the PV. We conclude that Chlamydia and Toxoplasma tend to adhere to their respective intracellular developmental program regardless of the presence of another organism in the cell and that the normal growth of each pathogen (i.e., the production of infectious progeny) is highly dependent on the pathogen’s ability to maintain a threshold level of interaction between its vacuole and host cell organelles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and antibodies. All chemicals were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) or Fisher (Waltham, MA) unless indicated otherwise. The C6-ceramide complexed to bovine serum albumin (BSA) was from Molecular Probes (Seattle, WA). The antibodies used for immunofluorescence assays (IFAs) included (i) rabbit or rat polyclonal anti-T. gondii GRA7 (anti-TgGRA7) (26), (ii) mouse monoclonal anti-EF-Tu (33) and rabbit polyclonal anti-IncA (a gift from T. Hackstadt, NIH Rocky Mountain Laboratories, Hamilton, MT), (iii) commercial mouse or rabbit anti-α-tubulin and anti-γ-tubulin, (iv) commercial chicken anti-CERT, (v) commercial rabbit anti-giantin, and (vi) commercial rabbit anti-Tom20 (Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA). All primary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:100, except for the anti-TgGRA7 and anti-CERT antibodies, which were used at a dilution of 1:200. The secondary antibodies were goat anti-IgG conjugated to either Alexa 488 or Alexa 594 (Invitrogen) before dilution at 1:2,000.

Propagation of mammalian cells and pathogens. The human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) used in this study were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). HFFs were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 1% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin-streptomycin (100 U/ml per 100 μg/ml), and 2 mM l-glutamine. The tachyzoite RH strain (type I) of Toxoplasma gondii was used throughout this study. Red fluorescent protein (RFP)-expressing stable transgenics derived from the RH strain were generously provided by F. Dworzinski (McGill University, Canada). The parasites were propagated in vitro by serial passage in monolayers of HFFs in DMEM plus 10% FBS (34). The Chlamydia trachomatis lab reference serovar E/UWS-CX was used throughout this study. In one set of experiments, the pathogenic avian type strain Chlamydia psittaci Cal-10 was used. All chlamydiae were propagated in HeLa cells at 37°C with 5% CO2 in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 25 μg/ml gentamicin, and 1.25 μg/ml amphotericin B (Fungizone) as described previously (35).

Infection protocols. Mammalian cells were seeded onto coverslips in 24-well plates for IFAs or in 6-well tissue culture dishes for electron microscopy (EM) and grown at 37°C in a CO2 incubator until 70% confluence. Prior to infection experiments, cells were washed with PBS and incubated for 2 h in antibiotic- and amphotericin B-free medium. To examine the interactions of T. gondii and chlamydiae with their host cells, coinfection and superinfection protocols were used, as previously described (32).

Ceramide uptake assay. Infected fibroblasts were exposed to 5 μM 6-[(N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino)hexanoyl]phospho-sphin-gosine (NBD)-C6-ceramide complexed to BSA for 1 h in serum-free medium before examination by live microscopy. In some assays using NBD-C6-ceramide, the cells were infected with the parasites or the bacteria for 24 h and then exposed to 10 μM pyrimethamine and 100 units/ml of penicillin G, respectively, for an additional day before the pulse with the fluorescent lipid.

Fluorescence microscopy. For IFAs, cells were fixed in a solution consisting of 4% phosphonofomeric acid (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA) and 0.02% glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 15 min and permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 5 min, except for IncA and α- and γ-tubulin immunostaining, for which cells were fixed and permeabilized with 100% methanol for 5 min at −20°C. Samples were then blocked with 3% BSA, dissolved in PBS for 45 min, and probed with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer for 1 h to 2 h. Samples were then washed 3 times and probed with secondary antibodies diluted in the blocking buffer for 45 min. Coverslips were mounted onto glass microscope slides using ProLong Gold antifade mounting solution with or without DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Invitrogen). For all fluorescence assays, images were acquired on a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope equipped with a Spot RT charge-coupled-device camera and processed using Image-Pro-Plus software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD) before assembly using Adobe Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA). Some images were also viewed with a Nikon Plan Apo ×100 objective using a Nikon 90i microscope, and pictures were taken using a Hamamatsu ORCA-ER camera and Volocity software. Images (a z stack per field) were processed using iterative restoration (confidence limit, 98%; iteration limit, 25), and further processing was done using Adobe Photoshop software. For quantification of NBD-ceramide intensity, the total intensity in the green channel was determined for each vacuole and compared with the total fluorescence intensity of the host Golgi apparatus using the following equation: [sum intensity (pathogenic vacuole center)/sum intensity (entire host Golgi apparatus)] × 100. The same quantitative measurements were applied to monitor the fluorescence intensity of microtubules around the inclusions and PVs. Small squares (5 by 5 μm2) were selected from 15 different cells and positioned in the surroundings of the vacuoles. Other squares were positioned within the host cytoplasm at a distance of 20 μm away from the vacuoles for...
We performed quantitative image analysis to compare inclusion size in uninfected and infected cells was measured on three-dimensional (3-D) reconstructed volumes using Volocity software, where individual giantin foci were identified by intensity, and objects less than 0.2 cm³ were excluded from the calculations. The mean volume and standard deviation (SD) were calculated from three independent experiments using Excel software (Microsoft, Redmond, WA).

**Electron microscopy.** For thin-section transmission EM (TEM), cells were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 1 h at room temperature and processed as described previously (36) before examination with a Philips CM120 electron microscope (Eindhoven, the Netherlands) under 80 kV.

**Statistical analysis.** For the comparison of means, P values were determined by the analysis of variance against the control (ANOVA 2).

### RESULTS

**Intracellular development of Chlamydia is impaired throughout coinfection with Toxoplasma.** We previously demonstrated that *Toxoplasma* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* could simultaneously invade the same cell (either a fibroblast or an epithelial cell) and develop in their respective vacuoles during a 24-h period (32). *Toxoplasma* exhibited a normal growth rate, whereas the development of *C. trachomatis* was altered into a stress-induced persistent state. This phenotype was evidenced by (i) the presence of aberrant reticulate bodies (RBs) within the inclusions, (ii) the down-regulated expression of late-developmental-stage proteins by the bacteria, and (iii) the reversibility of the persistent state, namely, the restoration of chlamydial growth and late differentiation to elementary bodies (EBs) upon killing of *Toxoplasma* or supplementation of the culture medium with nutrients. To further explore differences in the growth and development of both the parasite and the bacterium, we examined the PV and inclusion sizes from the onset until late coinfection in dually infected cells. Figure 1A displays PVs labeled for GRA7 (a PVM marker) and inclusions stained for EF-Tu (a cytoplasmic marker for all chlamydial developmental stages) at 3 h, 16 h, and 32 h postcoinfection. The vacuoles of the two pathogens were often found in close proximity to each other in the perinuclear region. In monocultures, a PV contained an average of 6 and 12 *Toxoplasma* parasites for the 16-h and 32-h periods of intracellular development, respectively, with each parasite measuring 2 by 7 μm. In comparison, the replicative forms of *Chlamydia*, the RBs, reached numbers of 50 to 100 and 300 to 600 (per focal section) at 16 h and 32 h p.i., respectively, in monocultures, with each RB homogeneously measuring 1 μm in diameter (37). When *Toxoplasma* was cultivated with *Chlamydia*, no major differences in the size of the parasites and their number per vacuole were observed at between 3 h and 32 h in infected cells (compare Fig. 1A to B). In contrast, the morphological features of the inclusions were dramatically altered in coinfections, as smaller inclusions containing enlarged bacteria up to 5 μm in diameter were visible at 16 h postcoinfection (compare Fig. 1A to C). The inclusions expanded in size after 32 h of coculture, but overall, they remained much smaller than inclusions that developed in monoinfected fibroblasts (not shown). At 48 h postinfection, the host cell was mainly occupied by very large PVs. At day 3, the parasites egressed from the host cell and were competent to invade new cells, while the bacteria remained enclosed in their inclusions (not shown). We performed quantitative image analysis to compare inclusion size in monoinfected versus coinfected fibroblasts at between 6 h and 42 h p.i. (Fig. 2). Results confirm the much slower growth of inclusions in the presence of PVs, with an inclusion size of 198 ± 33 μm² after 42 h. In monocultures, the inclusions grew exponentially and were ~10 times larger than inclusions in coinfections, with an inclusion size of 2,166 ± 74 μm² at 42 h p.i. These observations suggest that, following the cointernalization of *Toxoplasma* and *Chlamydia* in the same fibroblast, the bacterium instantaneously displays a limited capacity to divide, thus generating aberrantly enlarged RBs, while the inclusion development is impaired, thus resulting in the formation of a smaller inclusion. Moreover, in the tested time window, chlamydial growth and development never resumed to normal levels. This strongly suggests that the sharing of an infected cell with *Toxoplasma* represents a stressful growth condition for *Chlamydia*. The bacterium then deviates into a stress-induced persistent state (38).

**The inclusion and the PV do not fuse during coinfection.** The dramatic impact of *Toxoplasma* on the development of *C. trachomatis* during coinfection raises the possibility that the *Toxoplasma* and *Chlamydia* vacuoles interact with each other to the detriment of the bacterium. A common feature shared by the two pathogens is the formation of membranous fibers that extend away from the host cell vacuoles into the perinuclear space. Membranous fibers are paralleled by the formation of membranous fibers that extend away from the host cell vacuoles into the perinuclear space. Membranous fibers are abundant in both the PV and inclusions of *Chlamydia* and *Toxoplasma* at 3 h, 16 h, and 32 h p.i. in monocultures at 3 h, 16 h, and 32 h p.i. in monocultures. Intravacuolar bacteria and parasites were identified in HFFs using antibodies against EF-Tu (red) and GRA7 (green), respectively, at the indicated times p.i. For direct visual comparison of the vacuolar niches in co- versus monoinfections, all images are at the same magnification. Bars, 10 μm.

**FIG 1** Morphology of the PV and inclusions of *C. trachomatis* in cocultures compared to monocoltures. (A to C) IFA of *T. gondii* and *C. trachomatis* in cocultures. Intravacuolar bacteria and parasites were identified in HFFs using antibodies against EF-Tu (red) and GRA7 (green), respectively, at the indicated times p.i. For direct visual comparison of the vacuolar niches in co- versus monocoltures, all images are at the same magnification. Bars, 10 μm.

**FIG 2** Inclusions grown in coinfected fibroblasts are much smaller than inclusions grown in monocoltures. (A to C) IFA of *C. trachomatis* inclusions grown in coinfected fibroblasts. Intravacuolar bacteria and parasites were identified in HFFs using antibodies against EF-Tu (red) and GRA7 (green), respectively, at the indicated times p.i. For direct visual comparison of the vacuolar niches in co- versus monocoltures, all images are at the same magnification. Bars, 10 μm.

**TABLE 1** Effects of coinfection on the growth and development of *C. trachomatis* and *T. gondii*. The number of intravacuolar bacteria and parasites were identified in HFFs using antibodies against EF-Tu (red) and GRA7 (green), respectively, at the indicated times p.i. For direct visual comparison of the vacuolar niches in co- versus monocoltures, all images are at the same magnification. Bars, 10 μm.
their respective vacuoles and pervade the cytosol (26, 39–42). These vacuolar membranous extensions form contact with host organelles and other vacuoles located in the cell. The production and distribution of the membranous extensions derived from the inclusion and PV in coinfected cells were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy and compared to those in monoinfected cells. In cells monoinfected for 16 h, we observed a dense network of fibers containing the inclusion membrane protein IncA, up to 10 fibers per inclusion, distributed around the host nucleus (Fig. 3A, panel a) or connecting two inclusions located on opposite sides of the nucleus (Fig. 3A, panel b). In Toxoplasma-infected cells, GRA7-stained extensions from the PVM were visible in the nuclear region (Fig. 3A, panel c) or linking two PVs together (Fig. 3A, panel d). In coinfected cells harboring several PVs or inclusions, we frequently observed chlamydial inclusions that were connected with IncA-labeled tubules (Fig. 3B, panel a) and PVs associated with each other by long GRA7-labeled extensions (Fig. 3B, panel b), as in monoinfections. Under stress conditions, the size and abundance of IncA-laden fibers increase significantly (42, 43). However, we did not observe a significant difference in the number and length of inclusion fibers during coinfection versus monoinfection. In coinfectcd cells, the extensions emanating from both the PV and inclusion could reach 30 μm in length, suggestive of their remarkable stability. In the vast majority of coinfected cells, the PVM projections (PVMPs) did not interact with the inclusions. However, in ~5% coinfectcd cells, we observed chlamydial fibers extending toward the PV and IncA materials accumulated near the PV in coinfectcd cells, as shown on the 3-D micrographs (Fig. 4). Although IncA is thought to promote fusion events between inclusions, we never observed fusion between a PV and an inclusion or between the respective fibers of these vacuoles.

**Both Chlamydia and Toxoplasma recruit host microtubules to their vacuole in coinfected cells.** We next examined the capability of *Chlamydia* and *Toxoplasma* to rearrange the host microtubular network around their vacuole and to attract structures connected to microtubules, such as the microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) and the Golgi complex. In monoinfected cells, both *C. trachomatis* and *Toxoplasma* usually establish their nest near the host peri-Golgi complex-MTOC region. Each vacuole associates with host centrosomes and is positioned at the center of the microtubular network (24, 26, 44–46). The analogous behavior of the *C. trachomatis* and *Toxoplasma* vacuoles prompted us to examine the architecture of the host microtubular network in coinfected cells by immunostaining for α-tubulin (Fig. 5). Microtubules in uninfected cells formed a framework surrounding the nucleus, radiating toward the cell periphery (Fig. 5A). In coinfected cells, inclusions and PVs remained associated with distinct microtubules at 6 h p.i. and were enwrapped by the microtubular network by 24 h p.i., similar to monoinfected cells (Fig. 5B and C). In coinfected cells, the network of microtubules was evenly distributed around each vacuolar compartment. The intensity of fluorescent staining of microtubules around inclusions and PVs in coinfected cells was measured and compared to the fluorescence levels of cortical microtubules dispersed in the host cytoplasm. Figure 5D shows the distribution patterns of the relative fluorescence intensity of microtubules obtained from 150 locations in the host cytoplasm, around the inclusions and around the PVs. The relative fluorescence intensities surrounding the inclusions and the PVs were similar to one another and higher than the fluorescence levels in the host cytoplasm. The mean values of intensities were 97.7 ± 9.3, 134.5 ± 7.2, and 145.1 ± 14.2 for microtubules in...
the host cytoplasm, around the inclusions, and around the PVs, respectively ($P < 0.01$). This indicates that in coinfected cells, Chlamydia and Toxoplasma are able to mobilize large numbers of microtubules from the host cytoplasm to their respective vacuoles. For each pathogen, this property appears to be independent of the presence of the other pathogen, as no difference was observed between coinfected and monoinfected cells.

**Toxoplasma wins the battle for the centrosome in coinfected cells.** We next developed morphological assays to assess the subcellular location of host centrosomes in cells dually infected with Chlamydia and Toxoplasma. Fibroblasts infected for 24 h were stained with antibodies against $\alpha$-tubulin, a protein marker of the pericentriolar matrix (Fig. 6). In all infected cells, the normal positioning of the centrosomes at the nuclear periphery was disrupted, as these structures were detached from the host nuclear envelope (compare Fig. 6A to B). Host centrosomes were distributed at the PV periphery at a high frequency in mono- and coinfected cells. In the case of coinfected cells harboring multiple PVs, the centrosomes were associated with the largest PV containing the progeny of the first parasite that invaded the cell, as illustrated in panel c of Fig. 6B. Of interest, we did not observe more than two centrosomes per coinfected cell, although supernumerary centrosomes were often seen in cells infected with either Toxoplasma or Chlamydia alone. These observations were validated by quantitative analysis of the number of centrosomes and inclusions that were less than 1 $\mu$m away from the host MTOC (Fig. 6C). In monoinfected cells, the MTOC was seen in close proximity to $\sim$85% of the PVs and $\sim$90% of inclusions, while in coinfected cells, $\sim$95% of the PVs were associated with the MTOC but only $\sim$3% were associated with the inclusions. The rare instances in which the MTOC was found close to the inclusion were when the two pathogens were in close proximity to one another, and the MTOC appeared to be squeezed between the PV and the inclusion. From these results, it appears that Toxoplasma is more proficient than C. trachomatis in associating with the host MTOC during coinfection.

In cells preinfected with Chlamydia, the host centrosomes are associated with the inclusions, but neither the bacterium nor the parasite develops normally. Our results indicate that Toxoplasma develops normally in cells coinfected with C. trachomatis, mostly as if nothing was unusual in its environment, clearly establishing a competitive edge of the parasite over Chlamydia. We next aimed to challenge the parasite’s ability to multiply in the presence of chlamydial inclusions by infecting fibroblasts first with Chlamydia and allowing the bacteria to grow for 24 h prior to the addition of Toxoplasma to the culture medium. Invasion of C.
trachomatis prior to T. gondii would also give the bacteria the opportunity to hijack the host MTOC and perhaps develop normally. To explore this possibility, Chlamydia-preinfected cells were stained for \( \gamma \)-tubulin to localize the MTOC in coinfected cells (Fig. 7). Toxoplasma was able to invade Chlamydia-infected cells by forming a typical PV (Fig. 7A). In the vast majority of coinfected cells (\( 97\% \)), the MTOC was close to the inclusions and distant from the PVs, and in very few cells, the MTOC was close to both the inclusion and the PV. Coinfection cells were then stained to examine the size of the vacuoles of each pathogen. In cells previously infected by Chlamydia, Toxoplasma development was impaired, as the majority of the PVs contained only one single parasite at 24 h p.i. (Fig. 7B, panel a). Some parasites could undergo one or two cycles of replication. Quantitative distribution of the number of parasites per PV indicated that \( 50\% \) and \( 25\% \) of the PVs contained one and two parasites, respectively, in monoinfected cells (Fig. 7B, panel b). About \( 20\% \) of the PVs harbored three or four parasites in cells containing several inclusions. We never observed PVs with eight parasites, as were occasionally found in monoinfections. Examination of the parasite’s ultrastructure by TEM revealed the presence of cytoplasmic granules containing stores of amylopectin (Fig. 7C), which are thought to appear when Toxoplasma undergoes encystation upon stress or nutrient starvation (47). The parasites also accumulated abnormal myelinic structures, another sign of distress. The presence of nascent daughter parasites in the mother cell was confirmed by TEM (Fig. 7C, panel b). This suggests that Toxoplasma replication is perturbed in cells preinfected with Chlamydia, perhaps owing to a limitation of available nutrients and/or the exclusion of the host MTOC from the PV.
The Golgi apparatus-MTOC region is at the intersection of the endocytic and biosynthetic pathways. Therefore, pathogen positioning in this area of the cell could facilitate the interception of host vesicular traffic through manipulation of the microtubular transport system, which would satisfy pathogen requirements for nutrients. *Chlamydia* retrieves sphingomyelin and cholesterol from the host Golgi apparatus (11, 13), and the bacterium relies on both endogenous host-derived sphingolipids and exogenously added ceramide in the culture medium to survive (11, 12, 48, 49). This process requires the fragmentation of the Golgi apparatus by degradation of the Golgi matrix protein golgin-84 mediated by *Chlamydia* (20). Our lipidomic analyses revealed that *Toxoplasma* contains more than 20 different species of sphingolipids (50). The main source of sphingolipids in the parasite remains undetermined. Some studies reported a biosynthetic pathway for ceramide and glycosphingolipids in *Toxoplasma* (51, 52). Other studies supported the suggestion that *Toxoplasma* sphingolipids are derived from the host Golgi apparatus (28).

In this context, we aimed to determine to what extent the Golgi apparatus would be restructured in coinfected cells by examining the morphology of the Golgi apparatus using antibodies against the cis-Golgi protein giantin. In uninfected cells, the Golgi apparatus forms a ribbon-like structure that wraps around the nucleus (Fig. 8A). We confirmed that the Golgi apparatus was largely dispersed in *Chlamydia*-infected cells. We observed a similar situation in *Toxoplasma*-infected cells, as the Golgi apparatus was associated with the PV. Cells simultaneously infected with the two pathogens for 14 h displayed dramatic structural alterations of the Golgi apparatus, with displacement of the organelle away from the nucleus, loss of the Golgi apparatus ribbon shape, and wrapping of Golgi elements around both the inclusion and the PV (Fig. 8B). Remarkably, the individual association of either vacuole occurred independently of the number of PVs or inclusions within a cell. On rare occasions where the PV and the inclusion were on opposite sides of the host nucleus, Golgi fragments were seen to be associated with the PV and not with the inclusion (Fig. 8C). Quantitative analyses indicate that the number of giantin-labeled foci increased by ~10-fold in coinfected cells (containing one PV and one inclusion), whereas the number increased ~4-fold in monoinfected cells containing one PV and ~6-fold in monoinfected cells with one inclusion (Fig. 8D). Nearly all of the PVs and inclusions were associated with Golgi elements in single infections, whereas 80% of the inclusions and 98% of the PVs interacted with the Golgi apparatus in coinfected cells.

These results reveal that both pathogens are able to fragment the host Golgi apparatus, redirect Golgi apparatus pieces to their vacuoles, and maintain this association as the infection proceeds. With regard to *Chlamydia*, this process appears to be triggered in the early hours postinfection and to be independent of the recruitment of the host MTOC since this structure is captured by *Toxoplasma* in coinfected cells.

**Chlamydia and Toxoplasma are proficient in retrieving sphingolipids from the host Golgi apparatus during coinfec-tion.** In parallel, we monitored the uptake of host Golgi apparatus ceramide from the two pathogens. To investigate the transport of host ceramide to each pathogen-containing vacuole, we incubated cells with fluorescent ceramide before observation by live fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 9A). After 1 h of incubation, intense staining of the host Golgi apparatus was apparent in uninfected cells. In monoinfected cells, the fluorescent lipids were observed on Golgi elements distributed around the inclusions or the PVs and on the

---

**FIG 7** *Toxoplasma* superinfection of cells preinfected with *Chlamydia*. (A) IFA of *Chlamydia* in fibroblasts superinfected with *Toxoplasma* after 24 h. The host MTOC was labeled for γ-tubulin (γ-tub). Parasites (asterisks) were identified by phase image, and bacteria were immunostained with anti-EF-Tu antibodies. DAPI staining is in blue. Bars, 10 μm. (B) (a) IFA illustrating parasite replication (n = parasite number/PV). Bars, 10 μm. (b) Measurement of PV sizes. To assess *Toxoplasma* development in *Chlamydia*-preinfected cells (24 h of superinfection with *Toxoplasma* or 24 h of monoinfection), about 60 PVs were randomly selected and intravacuolar parasites were enumerated. Data on numbers per PV are means ± SDs of 3 independent assays for each condition. (C) (a) EM of *Toxoplasma* showing amylpectin granules (g), myelinic structures (arrows), and unidentified vacuoles (v). Note that the parasite has recruited host mitochondria (hm) and host ER (hER). n, nucleus. (b) EM of *Toxoplasma* illustrating two daughter parasites inside the mother cell, as demarcated by the nascent membrane cytoskeleton (inner membrane complex [IMC]; arrowheads). Bars, 500 nm. (D) IFA showing a *Chlamydia* cell in a monoinfected cell and a coinfection with *Toxoplasma* (delineated by white and yellow dashed lines, respectively) to compare the morphological features of the inclusions. Bar, 10 μm.
bacteria and parasites themselves. The plasma membranes of the two pathogens were stained, as was the limiting membrane of the inclusion and the PV. Transport of ceramide to the inclusion or the PV was ~90% inhibited by penicillin and pyrimethamine, respectively (n = 200 nm) and more than 50% of the surface of the vacuolar compartment was covered by Golgi apparatus material. Tg, T. gondii; Ct, C. trachomatis; uninf., uninfected; inf. infected.

Recent studies identified the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-to-Golgi ceramide transfer protein CERT (53) to be a host factor specifically recruited to the chlamydial inclusion and involved in sphingolipid delivery to the C. trachomatis inclusion (14, 19). To examine the localization of the CERT protein in our experimental system, we labeled infected cells with antibodies against the CERT protein. In uninfected cells, the CERT protein was found on small vesicles clustered in the perinuclear area (Fig. 9C). In Chlamydia-infected cells, we confirmed the redistribution of CERT protein from these cytoplasmic vesicles to the inclusion surface. In Toxoplasma-infected cells, the CERT-containing vesicles were intact and not associated with the PV. In coinfected cells, CERT staining was visible on the membrane of each inclusion regardless of the

FIG 8 Redistribution of the host Golgi complex in coinfected cells. (A to C) IFA of Toxoplasma- and Chlamydia-infected fibroblasts at 24 h p.i. The Golgi complex in HFF during interphase was visualized by staining with anti-giantin antibodies (green), whereas parasites or bacteria were immunostained for GRA7 and EF-Tu, respectively (n = PV number). Single and double arrowheads point to the PV and the inclusion, respectively. Bars, 10 μm. (D) Quantification of Golgi apparatus breakdown in infected cells and association with the PVs and inclusions at 24 h p.i. In randomly selected HFFs labeled for giantin, the number of Golgi elements was enumerated in cells infected for 14 h and compared to that in uninfected cells (blue bars). Data are means ± SDs from 3 infections after normalization, using values collected from uninfected cells. In parallel, the number of PVs and inclusions (n = 50 to 60) closely associated with Golgi elements was measured (gray bars). Interactions were considered positive when the distance between the vacuolar compartment and Golgi apparatus material was ≤200 nm and more than 50% of the surface of the vacuolar compartment was covered by Golgi apparatus material. Tg, T. gondii; Ct, C. trachomatis; uninf., uninfected; inf. infected.
number of PVs in the cell and of the viability of the parasite. These results indicate that the presence of Toxoplasma in the cell does not disrupt in any way the recruitment of host CERT by Chlamydia. Toxoplasma does not compete with the bacterium to obtain ceramide and acquires this lipid likely via a CERT-independent pathway.

Both Toxoplasma and Chlamydia psittaci share host mitochondria. It has been reported that unlike C. trachomatis, the inclusion of Chlamydia psittaci forms a tight association with many host mitochondria from 10 h p.i. (spacing of 5 nm between the inclusion membrane and host mitochondrion [31]), possibly through host kinesin phosphorylation (54). When kinesin activity is blocked and mitochondria are immobilized, C. psittaci growth is delayed, most probably through loss or restriction of energy availability. The T. gondii PVM also exhibits a remarkable association with host mitochondria (29, 30) mediated by parasite proteins (55), and this recruitment already occurs at 1 min p.i. Owing to the similar affinity of the C. psittaci and Toxoplasma vacuoles for host mitochondria, we examined the interaction of the two pathogens with the network of mitochondria in cointfected cells and compared the interaction with that in monoinfected cells. Mitochondria were immunostained for the outer mitochondrial mem-

FIG 9 Uptake of exogenous ceramide by Toxoplasma and Chlamydia during coinfection and distribution of the host CERT protein in infected cells. (A) Live fluorescence microscopy of uninfected or infected cells incubated with NBD-ceramide for 1 h. For infections, HFFs were incubated with C. trachomatis or T. gondii for 24 h before adding NBD-ceramide to the medium. In parallel experiments, cells were infected with the bacteria or the parasites and then treated with penicillin (+ pen) and pyrimethamine (+ pyr), respectively, prior to exposure to ceramide. Bars, 10 μm. (B) Quantitative fluorescence levels of NBD-ceramide incorporated into the PVs and inclusions of co- or monoinfected cells, in the absence or the presence of penicillin (+ pen) and pyrimethamine (+ pyr). Error bars indicate SEMs (n = 3 per condition) (**, P < 0.005). At least 60 images per condition were collected by confocal microscopy using sequential scanning and imported into Volocity software. Fluorescence intensity of staining in the PV or in the inclusion was expressed as a percentage of the total fluorescence intensity of staining found within the host Golgi apparatus. (C) Immunolocalization of the host CERT protein in uninfected cells with anti-CERT antibodies and cells infected with C. trachomatis and/or T. gondii for 24 h (n = PV number). Bars, 10 μm.
brane receptor Tom20. In uninfected cells, the network of mitochondria distributed around the nucleus and extended in the cytoplasm into long branches (Fig. 10A). In cells infected with *C. psittaci* for 24 h, the pattern of host mitochondria dramatically changed, as previously observed (31), and these organelles closely surrounded the entire circumference of the inclusion, leaving few mitochondria around the host nucleus and dispersed in the cytoplasm (Fig. 10B). When *Toxoplasma* was infecting cells for 24 h, each PV was equally decorated by host mitochondrial profiles, all around the periphery (Fig. 10C). We previously demonstrated that simultaneous coinfection of *C. psittaci* and *Toxoplasma* was detrimental for the parasite, likely owing to the faster replication of the bacterium than the parasite (32). Our results show that despite its growth being severely altered in coinfected cells, *Toxoplasma* was able to recruit host mitochondria as efficiently in coinfected as in monoinfected cells (Fig. 10D). This was observed in all coinfected cells observed regardless of the number of PVs per cell (panels a to c in Fig. 10D). The *C. psittaci* inclusions were also systematically covered by host mitochondria in coinfected cells. In very rare cases (~4%) where several PVs were present in the cell, the inclusions developed poorly, never fused with each other, and remained small with a mean size of 37 ± 8 μm² (normal inclusion size at 24 h p.i., 558 ± 46 μm²; Fig. 10D, panel c). Interestingly, in these coinfected cells, the atrophic inclusions were unable to associate with host mitochondria, unlike *Toxoplasma*.

These data illustrate that when in the same cell, *Toxoplasma* and *C. psittaci* are able to recruit host mitochondria and share this organelle, suggesting that this property is a factor contributing to successful productive infection. It is tempting to propose that the poor development of *C. psittaci* in cells containing multiple PVs in some instances may be caused by the absence of host mitochondria associated with the inclusions.

**DISCUSSION**

The intracellular pathogens *C. trachomatis* and *Toxoplasma* target and exploit host cell pathways and organelles throughout their intracellular infection cycle as part of a strategy to establish an environment beneficial for replication (56–58). Both pathogens

---

**FIG 10** Redistribution of host mitochondria in cells coinfected with *Toxoplasma* and *Chlamydia psittaci*. (A to D) IFA of *Toxoplasma* and *C. psittaci*-infected fibroblasts at 24 h p.i. Host mitochondria were immunostained with anti-Tom20 antibodies. *C. psittaci* was identified by DAPI staining and arrows on phase-contrast pictures. In panel c of panel D, 3 small inclusions are encircled. RFP-expressing *Toxoplasma* was used, and arrowheads on phase-contrast pictures point to the PVs. Bars, 10 μm.
 usurp host microtubules, associate with the MTOC, cause fragmentation of the Golgi apparatus, intercept Golgi vesicles, co-opt endocytic organelles, and scavenge sphingolipids and sterols from these organelles. The abilities shared by *Chlamydia* and *Toxoplasma* to usurp the same host organelles implies that, if placed inside the same cell, the two pathogens should be in direct rivalry and that only the winner of that competition would be able to grow and develop normally (i.e., to yield infectious progeny) within the infected cell. In this study, we have examined whether the presence of *Toxoplasma* and *C. trachomatis* in the same mammalian cell alters the normal recruitment of host cell structures mediated by each pathogen. In particular, we have explored the capabilities of the bacterium and parasite to recruit host microtubules, the MTOC, and Golgi elements during coinfection. Our results reveal that the relative positioning and morphology of host microtubules, the MTOC, and the Golgi apparatus are dramatically modified in cells infected by both pathogens than monoinfected cells, indicating that the damage inflicted by the parasite and bacterium on the host cell is additive. The inclusions and PVs are abundantly coated by host microtubules. The two pathogens distribute the fragments of the host Golgi apparatus equally around their respective vacuoles. The host MTOC is detached from the nucleus and entirely monopolized by *Toxoplasma*. These features suggest that following internalization, *Chlamydia* and *Toxoplasma* trigger an intracellular program whereby each pathogen takes advantage of the host cell in order to replicate in a favorable pathogen-specific environment, in spite of the presence of the other pathogen.

We propose the following sequence of events when *C. trachomatis* and *Toxoplasma* infect a cultured fibroblast concurrently. *C. trachomatis* invades a cell by an endocytic-like process and subsequently transforms the vacuolar compartment into a typical inclusion that fuses with other inclusions. These steps occur before the migration of the chlamydial inclusion to the host perinuclear area and take comparatively longer than the process of invasion and PV formation mediated by *Toxoplasma* (~1 min). The newly formed PVs do not fuse with each other but travel directly to the host nucleus within ~30 min. Thus, the parasite arrives in the perinuclear area before the bacterium, conferring on it a significant advantage for associating with the host MTOC. Nonetheless, even if chlamydial inclusions are kept at a distance from the host MTOC, they are still able to recruit host microtubules and to associate with the host Golgi apparatus. This suggests that the control of microtubule distribution exerted by the MTOC is not required for the bacterium to attract host organelles. The dramatic fragmentation of the Golgi apparatus and reorganization around the inclusions further suggest that the process of host golgin-84 cleavage may still be effective in coinfected cells (20). In coinfected cells, the bacteria can efficiently retrieve host ceramide from the Golgi apparatus, but they are no longer able to scavenge cholesterol–low-density lipoprotein (32). Moreover, replicating bacteria become abnormally enlarged and do not progress to infectious EBs, suggesting that bacterial cell division is progressively slowing down while late differentiation is blocked. In marked contrast, *Toxoplasma* parasites present in coinfected cells still appear to be highly proficient at exploiting host cell structures, almost as if *C. trachomatis* was not there, and grow similarly as in monocultures. If, however, *C. trachomatis* is allowed to grow intracellularly and monopolize host cell structures by itself for 24 h prior to coinfection, the replication of the parasite is then slower and the parasite accumulates sugars, similar to the cyst form of *T. gondii*. Interestingly, in cells preinfected with *Chlamydia* for 24 h, *Toxoplasma* causes the immediate arrest of chlamydial division, suggesting that even at a middle/early late stage of *C. trachomatis* development, chlamydiae are still susceptible to stress imposed by the incoming parasite, as reported for other stressors like antibiotics (59).

We previously showed that a possible cause for the observed stress response of *Chlamydia* when coinfecting with *Toxoplasma* is the deprivation of essential metabolites that are scavenged by *Toxoplasma* at the expense of the bacterium (32). Another factor that may contribute to the stress-induced persistent state of *C. trachomatis* during coinfection may be the failure to associate with the host MTOC by the inclusion. Many studies report that *C. trachomatis* modifies the host cell cycle by selectively targeting different cellular pathways (10, 60, 61). Although a potential selective advantage for *Chlamydia* in recruiting host centrosomes has not been demonstrated, it is possible to speculate that by stealing host cell division before cytokinesis, the bacterium ensures for itself a stable and spacious environment, sufficient to sustain its developmental cycle. For *Toxoplasma*, the host MTOC is likely a significant target, as this structure is systematically found to be associated with the PVs and remains at the PV surface throughout infection. A previous study reported that *T. gondii* infection induces normally quiescent cells to enter the S phase (62), subsequently preventing cell cycle progression (63). These perturbations in the host cell cycle machinery upon *T. gondii* infection may also influence how the parasite modulates its own replication scheme while intracellular.

The *C. trachomatis* inclusion promotes its own fusion with numerous host organelles, including Golgi vesicles and multivesicular bodies (1). *Toxoplasma*’s behavior is diametrically opposed with regard to fusion with host organelles (64). Coinfection of *T. gondii* with *Trypanosoma cruzi* (65), *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (66), or *Coxiella burnetii* (67) and chlamydiae (this study) supports the nonfusogenic nature of the *T. gondii* vacuole, likely as a consequence of not having a matching recognition signal. Chlamydial inclusions are connected with IncA-labeled fibers and PVs associated with each other by long GRA7-labeled extensions during coinfections. We observed apparent PV-inclusion connections via *Chlamydia*-derived fibers, whose significance is unclear. It remains possible that the chlamydial fibers are not targeting the PV per se but are targeting the host Golgi apparatus distributed around the PV in order to capture material from the Golgi apparatus.

Another example of competition between two different intracellular pathogens that covet the same organelles is illustrated by *Toxoplasma* and *Chlamydia psittaci*, which both recruit host mitochondria. In cells coinfectected with *Toxoplasma* and *C. psittaci*, the host mitochondrial network undergoes considerable reorganization as the two pathogens adeptly compete to hijack host mitochondria, with both succeeding in redistributing mitochondria around their respective vacuoles. The high frequency and efficacy for the recruitment of host mitochondria by *Toxoplasma* and the maintenance of this interaction throughout infection, even in the presence of the virulent *C. psittaci*, reveal the importance of the PV-mitochondrion association for *Toxoplasma* growth. This association would facilitate the access of nutrients, e.g., lipids, ATP, or lipote in mitochondria, to the parasite. The fast growth of *C. psittaci* depends on the host cell for energy-rich me-
tabolites such as ATP (68). One possible source of ATP would be the host mitochondria closely apposed to the inclusion membrane. C. psittaci has an ATP-ADP exchange mechanism that functionally acts as a reverse mitochondrial. The bacterium takes up ATP while expelling ADP, a feature that might cause mitochondria to approach and/or remain in close contact with the inclusion. We observed that the underdeveloped inclusions of C. psittaci lack host mitochondria around the inclusions. It is therefore possible that ATP is required for promoting fusion between early inclusions. Alternatively, the growth of the C. psittaci inclusion may be impaired at the onset of coinfection by an unidentified mechanism that makes the bacterium unable to later recruit host mitochondria and salvage ATP to pursue its normal development.

In this study, we have investigated the mutual influence exerted by Toxoplasma and chlamydiae on each other in single coinfected cells. Our results have provided new insights into the complex mode of intracellular development of both pathogens and have led to the identification of points of vulnerability in their respective developmental cycles. The interior of a mammalian cell provides a stable and nutrient-rich milieu to intravacuolar pathogens. How-ever, the presence of a vacuolar membrane between chlamydiae or Toxoplasma and the host cytosol restricts direct access to cytosolic nutrients. This investigation has emphasized the critical importance for both intravacuolar pathogens to be competent to interact with and modify host endomembranes. Only when these pathogens are able to maintain these attributes are they proficient to retrieve the nutrients and energy-rich metabolites from host organelles that are necessary to sustain their intracellular survival and growth.
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