Ex Vivo and In Vitro Identification of a Consensus Promoter for VSG Genes Expressed by Metacyclic-Stage Trypanosomes in the Tsetse Fly
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The trypanosome variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) is first expressed during differentiation to the infective, metacyclic population in tsetse fly salivary glands. Unlike the VSG genes expressed by bloodstream form trypanosomes, metacyclic VSGs (MVSGs) have their own promoters. The scarcity of metacyclic cells has meant that only indirect approaches have been used to study these promoters, and not even their identities have been agreed on. Here, we isolated trypanosomes by dissection from salivary glands and used an approach involving 5′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends to identify the transcription start site of three MVSGs. This shows that the authentic start site is that proposed for the MVATS series of MVSGs (K. S. Kim and J. E. Donelson, J. Biol. Chem. 272:24637-24645, 1997). In the more readily accessible procyclic trypanosome stage, where MVSGs are normally silent, we used reporter gene assays and linker scanning analysis to confirm that the 67 bp upstream of the start site is a promoter. This is confirmed further by accurate initiation in a homologous in vitro transcription system. We show also that MVSG promoters become derepressed when tested outwith their endogenous, subtelomeric loci. The MVSG promoters are only loosely conserved with bloodstream VSG promoters, and our detailed analysis of the 1.63 MVSG promoter reveals that its activity depends on the start site itself and sequences 26 to 49 bp and 56 to 60 bp upstream. These are longer than those necessary for the bloodstream promoter.

The protozoan parasite Trypanosoma brucei is transmitted between different mammalian hosts by its tsetse fly (Glossina morsitans) vector. Within mammals, T. brucei replicates extracellularly, and its cell surface is enshrouded by approximately 10^7 copies of a single protein species, the variant surface glycoprotein (VSG). Periodic switching from the expression of one VSG gene to another—antigenic variation—allows the parasite population to avoid eradication by the host immune system (5). The VSG coat is not expressed by the trypanosome stages that proliferate in the tsetse fly. However, the coat is probably a necessary preadaptation for infection of the mammalian host, and it is synthesized during differentiation of infective metacyclic trypanosomes within tsetse fly salivary glands (35). At this point in the life cycle, the expressed VSGs are regulated differently from those used in the bloodstream.

In the mammalian host, VSGs are transcribed from specialized transcription units known as bloodstream expression sites (BESs). Estimates suggest that up to 30 BESs exist in each trypanosome (27, 39), although only one is transcribed completely at any time. BESs occur within subtelomeric loci organized such that the VSG is the final coding sequence on the chromosome, upstream of the telomeric tract repeats. Several expression site-associated genes, or ESAGs, are transcribed together with the VSG, and each transcription unit is under the control of a single promoter, usually located 45 to 60 kb upstream of the VSG gene (reviewed in reference 5). Transcription is resistant to α-amantin, suggesting the use of RNA polymerase I or a modified RNA polymerase II (23, 24). In the procyclic trypanosomes in the tsetse fly midgut, VSGs are not expressed, and transcription of BESs is down-regulated. Although many or all of the BES promoters remain active at a low level, transcription does not proceed throughout the length of the expression site (32, 39). Metacyclic VSG gene (MVSG) expression is unusual in two respects that have relevance to a basic understanding of gene expression within the early-diverging kinetoplastid lineage. First, MVSG expression sites (MESs) are the only clear cases of monocistronic transcription units for protein-coding genes in a trypanosomatid (1, 11). Second, the stage-specific regulation of MVSG expression is an example of absolute regulation at the level of transcription initiation (11, 29), which is unusual in a family of organisms that utilizes posttranscriptional control processes to regulate the expression of housekeeping genes (38).

Up to 27 MVSG coats are expressed by the metacyclic trypanosome population (36). During the differentiation from the epimastigote stage to the metacyclic stage, each cell makes a decision to initiate, at random, the transcription of just one of the MVSG genes that are available (35). This gene is then expressed throughout metacyclic development and for several days following infection of a mammalian host. Thereafter, in a process that is not understood, the MES is silenced and the transcription of VSGs from BESs occurs. MVSG gene promoters are also transcriptionally silent during the procyclic stage and under normal circumstances can be reactivated only by...
differentiation to the metacyclic form in the salivary glands of the tsetse fly. The random nature of MVSG activation during metacyclic development ensures heterogeneity with respect to the VSG coat expressed by the parasite population and, as argued previously, is likely to enhance the probability of reestabishing infection in a previously infected host (3, 4). Like BESs, MESs are telomeric, with no coding sequences between the MVSG gene and the telomeric tract, and their transcription is resistant to α-amanitin (5).

Although data on the repression of MVSG expression in bloodstream and procyclic-form trypanosomes and on the stochastic nature of differential activation in the metacyclic form are available (11, 29, 35), we do not know how individual promoters function to recruit RNA polymerase, nor do we know anything about the molecular processes that mediate stage-specific regulation. The obvious difficulties in working with a nondividing cell type that cannot be obtained through existing in vitro culture techniques and the need to transmit trypanosomes through tsetse flies have meant that only indirect approaches have been possible. After repeated serial passaging of trypanosomes through laboratory mice Donelson and coworkers were able to identify low numbers of bloodstream form cells that had activated MVSGs de novo. The transcription of two of the MVSGs was monocistronic (1, 20), and one population had transcriptionally activated a MES without detectable genomic rearrangements (1). Transcription start sites for these MVAT4 and MVAT7 genes were mapped to elements referred to as putative MVAT promoters that varied between 67 and 73 bp in length and that were able to drive reporter gene expression from plasmids in transient-transfection experiments with procyelic culture form parasites (1, 20). A similar element was isolated in a promoter trap conducted in procyelic trypanosomes (25). In a different approach, using 5- to 7-day-old trypanosome populations that were expanded in mice from a single metacyclic trypanosome and therefore that were still transcribing a MES within the natural context of the life cycle, Graham et al. (11, 13) reported transcription start sites for the 1.22 and 1.61 MVSG genes. Although partly similar to each other, these sites differed from those for the MVAT4 and MVAT7 genes. Nevertheless, an upstream segment of the 1.22 MVSG element resembled the MVAT promoter-like element and was able to drive reporter gene expression in bloodstream form trypanosomes but was inactive when tested in procyclic cells (11, 13–15). The conclusions from the papers by Graham et al. therefore indicated a promoter structure that was an alternative to that of the putative MVAT promoters studied by Donelson and coworkers and a complex pattern of transcriptional regulation that involved chromosomal context and the presence or absence of trans-acting factors in different life cycle stages (14, 15). In the present work, we resolve the discrepancies between the previously published work from our and other laboratories through direct examination of transcription of MVSGs in trypanosomes isolated from the salivary glands of infected tsetse flies. We also demonstrate for the first time accurate in vitro initiation of transcription of these putative core promoters by using procyclic extracts, validating a detailed mutational analysis of the core promoter in a life cycle stage that is more amenable to experimental study.

**Materials and Methods**

**Maintenance of trypanosomes.** T. brucei EATRO 795 parasites that maintain tsetse fly transmissibility (12) were used for all experiments except the transient transfection of bloodstream form trypanosomes, which used an ILTat 1.2 subclone of EATRO 795. Procyclic trypanosomes were obtained by differentiation from bloodstream trypanosomes by standard methods (10) and were maintained in SDM-79 medium supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) heat-inactivated fetal calf serum as described previously (9). Transmission of bloodstream form cells through tsetse flies was also carried out as described previously (12).

**Plasmid constructs.** Constructs used in transient transfections were all originally derived from pHD52 (19). The substitution of the luciferase gene with a chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) reporter gene and the subsequent removal of the BE5 promoter to create pHD52CAT or replacement of the BE5 promoter with a sequence from the 1.22 MVSG locus to create p1.22HD52CAT have been described previously (11). To create pl1.61HD52CAT and pl1.61nrHD52CAT, plasmid pMT1.61-X6 (13) was digested with Nael and a 2.7-kb insert containing the transcription start site was cloned into pHD52CAT that had been digested with Acc65I and Smal and then blunted. pl1.61nrHD52CAT contains the Nael insert with a start site and promoter cloned in the opposite orientation with respect to the CAT promoter. A partial sequence of the 2.7-kb Nael fragment, also containing the putative procyclic promoter element, was isolated after digestion of pMT1.61-X6 with EcoRV and HinDIII and cloned into EcoRV- and HindIII-digested pBluescript. This insert was then released from pBluescript by digestion with KpnI and BglII, and the 5′-terminal fragment was blunt-ended, cloned into ScaI- and Smal-digested pHD52CAT to yield pl1.61ehHD52CAT. All of the transient-transfection plasmids that contained the 1.63 MVAT-type promoter and mutants thereof were obtained by annealing the appropriate oligonucleotides to obtain double-stranded DNA fragments with SacI and Smal ends and cloning these DNA molecules into SacI- and Smal-digested pHD52CAT. The basic constructs for the analysis of promoter activities in the 1.22 MVSG subtelomeric locus and the nontranscribed ribosomal DNA (rDNA) locus (41) were built through a series of cloning steps, the full details of which are available upon request. The reporter gene used to assay promoter activity was a fusion of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene to a truncated form of the trypanosome paraglialar rod protein A gene (PPRA) (6). This translational fusion was chosen because, in our experience, the expression of native GFP in trypanosomes is less consistent. The selectable marker subcassette, which was designed to operate independently of the test subcassette, consisted of a procyclin promoter and a phleomycin resistance gene flanked by procyclin-processing regions. The reporter subcassette consisted of the test promoter fragment and the GFP gene-PPRA reporter gene flanked at its 5′ end by a β-tubulin intergenic region and at its 3′ end by an intergenic region from the calmodulin locus. The test promoters analyzed were the rDNA promoter, the 221 BE5 promoter, the 1.61 MVAT-type promoter, and the 1.2-kb EcoRV-HindIII insert from pMT1.61-X6, pl1.63-trm, pl1.61-trm, and 1.61 M-trm, used to test the ability of MVAT-type promoters to transcribe DNA templates in vitro, were all derived from pRb-trm (23). Again, appropriate oligonucleotides were annealed together, and KpnI/Smal DNA inserts were cloned into KpnI- and Smal-digested pRb-trm. All constructs were prepared by Qiagen Maxi-prep or through CaCl2 density gradients prior to use.

**Recombinant DNA procedures.** Trypanosome genomic DNA was extracted as described previously (7). The ILTat 1.63 MVSG expression site was identified by using a partial cDNA sequence for the 1.63 VSG to screen an ILTat 1.2 genomic library constructed (by Nils Burman) in bacteriophage lambdaGEM12 (Promega). Library screening and Southern blotting were carried out according to standard protocols (33). DNA probes were labeled with [α-32P]dCTP by random primer labeling using the PrimeIt II kit (Stratagene), and all blots were washed to high stringency (0.1× SSC [1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate], 0.1% [wt/vol] sodium dodecyl sulfate; 65°C). A partial sequence of the ILTat 1.64 MES was isolated by PCR using a 1.64 cDNA-specific primer (5′-TGAAACTGTCAG GCACGAAATTCS-3′) and a degenerate primer (5′-AATYRYKAAACR CRRGT-3′). The 50-μl reaction mixture consisted of Herculase polymerase (Stratagene), 125 ng of a cDNA-specific primer and 1 μmol of degenerate primer, and 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates. The reaction involved 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 48°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 min, with a final extension of 72°C for 12 min. From this reaction a DNA fragment containing the complete sequence of the 1.64 MVSG promoter region was then isolated by a TOPO-Walker (Invitrogen) approach following the manufacturer’s guidelines and using the locus-specific primers 5′-CGTGGTCACCCGACTGC-3′, in conjunction with SphI-digested DNA and Taq polymerase (Abgene). Finally, to obtain an accurate sequence for the promoter region, DNA fragments were amplified from genomic DNA by

---
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TABLE 1. 5′ RACE analysis of transcription start sites in salivary gland trypanosomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MVSG locus</th>
<th>Expt</th>
<th>Primer cocktaila</th>
<th>PCR product size (bp)</th>
<th>No. of PCR clones analyzed</th>
<th>5′ ends mappedb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+291, +207, +114</td>
<td>−140</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5 +1 or −1, 2 +2, 5 trans-spliced at +53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−64, −86, −101</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+291, +207, +114</td>
<td>−140</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8 +49, 1 at +48, 1 at +50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−64, −86, −101</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+291, +207, +114</td>
<td>−140</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5 +2, 2 at +3, 1 at +6, 2 at +10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−64, −86, −101</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td>ingi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.61</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+1141, +958, +855</td>
<td>−250</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 trans-spliced at +641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1141, +958, +637</td>
<td>−90</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6 at +561, 2 at +581, 1 at +615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+1141, +958, +855</td>
<td>−250</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 trans-spliced at +640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1141, +958, +637</td>
<td>−90</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1 at +561, 1 at +562, 15 at +565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+534, +408, +395</td>
<td>−420</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 at +1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+191, +105, +78</td>
<td>−100</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7 at +1, 1 at +2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−41, −92, −101</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The position of the 3′ end of each locus-specific oligonucleotide, relative to the in vitro transcription start site, is indicated.
b Relative to the in vitro transcription start site.

RESULTS

The I.63 and I.64 MVSG expression sites. To extend our knowledge of the structure and function of MVSG expression sites and their promoters, we have now analyzed the telomeric I.63 and I.64 loci. Using a cDNA probe, we isolated from a genomic library an insert that included 892 bp of the N-terminal coding region for I.63 MVSG and 16.8 kb of upstream sequence. Sequence analysis indicated that the I.63 locus shares a number of characteristics with previously characterized MVSG loci, and in particular with the MVAT7 locus studied by Donelson and coworkers (Fig. 1) (20, 34). From bp −854 to −1054 upstream of the ATG initiation codon there is homology to the 70-bp repeats that are either not present or are present in 1 or 2 copies in some MESs and that are present as large repeat arrays in BESs (5). From bp −2335 to −4885 there is a partial copy of the ingi retrotransposon, and, within high-fidelity PCR using Herculase in conjunction with the primer pair 5′-CTA-ATCGCTGGATACCGCCATC3′ and 5′-CTACTCTGAGCTCATCG3′. The annealing temperature was 60°C. DNA sequences were determined by ABI-PRISM automated sequencing with custom-designed primers.

5′ RACE analysis of MVSG transcription start sites during metacyclic development. Salivary glands were collected from tsetse flies approximately 35 days after infection with bloodstream trypanosomes. Glands were massaged under the microscope to release a maximum of 2 × 10^5 parasites into a small volume of HMI-9 medium (16), and the RNA was extracted with 1 ml of TRIzol (Gibco Life Technologies). After division into aliquots (approximately 50,000 cell equivalents), the RNA was used in rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) reactions, including reverse transcriptase (RT)-negative controls, to map 5′ cDNA ends; the procedures strictly adhered to the manufacturer’s protocols (Gibco Life Technologies). Following purification and oligo(dC) tailing of gene-specific cDNA, two rounds of PCR, in which the second reaction was nested with respect to the first, were necessary for DNA bands to be seen by ethidium bromide staining. PCR products were cloned into TOPO vectors (Invitrogen) and sequenced as described above. The 3′ end positions of the primers used to map the transcription start sites in the I.63 and I.61 loci, relative to MVSG promoters, are shown in Table 1; the primer sequences are available upon request. All primers were tested for their integrity by use in PCRs with upstream primers to amplify specific DNA targets from genomic DNA templates.

Transfections. For transient transfections, 2.5 × 10^5 procyclic trypanosomes in 0.5 ml of Zimmerman postfusion (ZM) medium (132 mM NaCl, 8 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.5 mM KH_2PO_4, 0.5 mM Mg acetate, 0.099 mM Ca acetate, pH 7.0) were pulsed twice with 5 μg of supercoiled plasmid by using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II set at 1.5 kV and 25 μF capacitance. Cells were allowed to recover in 10 ml of medium for 18 h before harvesting and processing for the determination of CAT activity as described previously (11). CAT activity was determined on the linear scale by using as the substrate [3H]chloramphenicol at a specific activity of 52 to 57 mCi/ml (NEN; catalog no. NEN408). Bathyramilated products were extracted into mixed xylene and processed for liquid scintillation counting. Bloodstream form cells were recovered from Wistar rats as a buffy coat from whole blood and resuspended in HMI-9 medium. Cells (5 × 10^10) in 0.5 ml of ZM buffer containing 1% (wt/vol) glucose were pulsed once with 5 μg of DNA under the conditions described above, recovered in 10 ml of HMI-9 medium for 18 h at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO_2, and then harvested and processed for the determination of CAT activity as described above. For stable transfections, 2.5 × 10^5 procyclic cells in 0.5 ml of ZM buffer were pulsed twice with ~3 μg of linearized DNA under the conditions described above and then recovered overnight in SDM-79 medium and selected with phleomycin (5 μg ml^−1) by following methods described previously (40).

Fluorescence-activated cell sorter analysis. Parasites were resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline at a density of between 10^6 and 10^7 cells·ml^−1. Then, 10^6 events were analyzed on a FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, United Kingdom) flow cytometer. The laser beam (15 mW) was tuned to 488 nm, and the FL1 photomultiplier tube (equipped with a 530/30-nm band-pass filter) was used to record GFP fluorescence (emission maximum, 507 nm). Data analysis was carried out with CellQuest software (Becton Dickinson).

In vitro transcription and RNA analysis. In vitro transcription, RNA preparation, and detection of specific transcripts by primer extension analysis were conducted essentially as described previously (23). Briefly, 40-μl transcription reaction mixtures were incubated for 60 min at 28°C. DNase I digestion was conducted essentially as described previously (23). Brieﬂy, 40-μl reaction mixtures were incubated for 60 min at 28°C. DNA sequences were determined by ABI-PRISM automated sequencing with custom-designed primers.
1.61 is compared with ILTat 1.61 MVAT7 realtedness between regions of different loci is shown by grey boxes that depict percent identities between the sequences aligned. GUTat 10.1 pseudogenes; hatched box, 70-bp repeat that lies upstream of most genes, fi delity PCR system to amplify and sequence a putative fragment and locus-speci c primers in conjunction with a high-moter, we used a TOPO-walking approach to amplify the DNA for the ILTat 1.64 MVAT5 similar to that of the promoters, this 1.63 MVAT promoter-like sequence is most similar to that of the MVAT7 promoter (92% identity).

Using PCR in combination with an oligonucleotide specific for the ILTat 1.64 cDNA and a degenerate PCR primer designed to anneal within the most highly conserved region of the consensus MVSG promoter, we were able to amplify an ~3.2-kb fragment, which was cloned and sequenced. To ascertain an accurate sequence of the putative 1.64 MVSG promoter, we used a TOPO-walking approach to amplify the DNA fragment and locus-specific primers in conjunction with a high-fidelity PCR system to amplify and sequence a putative 1.64 MVSG promoter. Although not yet experimentally tested, this putative promoter contains the functional sequence elements identified in the 1.63 MVSG promoter. The 1.64 MES has only a single, poorly conserved 70-bp repeat element.

MVSG transcription start sites used during metacyclic development. To study MVSG transcription initiation sites directly and to help resolve conflicting data from two indirect approaches to the identification of MVSG promoters (1, 11), we isolated sufficient amounts of RNA from trypanosomes dissected from tsetse fly salivary glands for transcription start site analysis by 5′ RACE. Although a new generation of 5′ RACE kits that exploit the 5′ cap of transcripts can overcome the problem of premature termination of reverse transcription, the absence of caps from trypanosome primary transcripts forced us to use instead a walking strategy in which priming moves progressively upstream until the point beyond which no products are detectable. Thus, in our first experiments, using gene-specific primers that were complementary to the genomic sequence upstream of the 1.63 MVSG, we repeatedly mapped 5′ cDNA ends to the MVAT promoter-like sequence present in this locus (1.63) Table 1). Moreover, in two of the three experiments, the transcription start site was mapped at, or very near to, the CA−1 motif, which characterizes the transcription start sites of BES promoters and the MVAT4 and MVAT7 promoters in bloodstream cells expressing MVSG genes as monocistronic transcripts (1, 19). Using gene-specific primers in 5′ RACE upstream of this transcription start site, we detected no RT-PCR products in two experiments; the transcripts detected in the third transmission (Table 1) could have been derived from the transcription of ingi elements found elsewhere in the genome, as that is what lies immediately upstream of the 1.63 MVSG promoter-like element. As expected for loci that are subjected to transcriptional silencing in the procyclic stage of the life cycle (11, 29), we detected, from procyclic cDNA, no RT-PCR products from the 1.63 MES using either the locus-specific primers or other primer combinations (data not shown). This 1.63 MVSG transcription start site is different from that of the putative 1.22 MVSG and hypothetical 1.61 MVSG promoters identified in a different approach (11, 13). We therefore applied our 5′ RACE walking strategy to identify the transcription start sites of the 1.22 MVSG and 1.61 MVSG in salivary gland trypanosome populations. This indicated that transcription of both genes was initiated from CA−1 motifs present in sequences having identity with those of MVAT promoters lying upstream of the putative elements we reported previously (Table 1 and data not shown). To resolve this further, we walked by 5′ RACE upstream of the 1.61 MVSG gene in 5-day-old bloodstream parasites initiated from metacyclic trypanosomes, which is the indirect approach we used previ-
ously (13), and this gave the same CA\textsuperscript{+1} initiation site for the 1.61 MVSG gene (data not shown). Taken as a whole, our analysis of three MESs indicated that monocistronic transcription of VSG genes in metacyclic populations utilizes MVAT-type promoters but provided no evidence for transcription initiation from common downstream elements.

In our 5’ RACE analyses, we found trans-splice acceptor sites located 51 nucleotides (nt) and 641 nt downstream of the transcription start sites for the 1.63 and 1.61 MVSG genes, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 1). To investigate whether these spliced leader sites were used to form mature MVSG mRNA species with unusually long 5’ untranslated regions (UTR) of 2,936 nt in the 1.63 MVSG mRNA and 2,138 nt in the 1.61 MVSG mRNA, we applied RT-PCRs using metacyclic RNA templates in conjunction with VSG- and spliced-leader-RNA-specific oligonucleotides and determined the lengths of specific products by gel electrophoresis. The PCR products obtained from these reactions indicated that the 5’ UTRs of the 1.61 and 1.63 MVSG genes are only about 400 nt long (data not shown); the observed splicing events at 51 nt and 641 nt from the transcription start do not yield the mature mRNA species.

Locus-associated control prevents MES transcription in procyclic stage trypanosomes. Given our identification of a consensus MVSG transcription initiation site, we have re-investigated what controls promoter activity. Our previous data suggested that stage-specific silencing of MVSGs in bloodstream form cells is dependent on chromosomal context but also that procyclic cells appeared to be unable to activate promoters, even when they are on plasmids or in the rDNA locus (11, 13, 14). To find out whether MVSG promoters are able to direct transcription on episomal plasmids, we transiently transfected bloodstream form and procyclic-form trypanosomes with gene constructs in which previously examined promoter fragments (Fig. 1) were cloned upstream of a CAT reporter gene; DNA fragments included the published 1.22 promoter short fragment (427 bp) (11, 14, 15) a 2.7-kb sequence from the 1.61 locus encompassing an MVAT element (13), a shorter fragment from the 1.67 locus also containing the 1.67 MVAT element, and appropriate control inserts, namely, one without the promoter and one with the promoter in reverse orientation. The CAT activity measured in extracts of transfected trypanosomes indicated clearly that, contrary to data published previously by Graham et al. (11, 13–15), there were no significant differences between the two life cycle stages in their abilities to use sequences encompassing MVAT promoters to drive gene expression (Fig. 2).

We next asked two questions: whether the transcriptionally silent region upstream of the 1.22 MVSG transcription start site could provide a suitable environment for gene expression from different trypanosome promoters and whether a consensus MVSG promoter would drive gene expression from the nontranscribed spacer in the rDNA array. For this we used stable transfection and a GFP gene fusion as the reporter, which would enable detection to the single-cell level, such as in the tsetse fly. For the 1.22 locus, the reporter cassette was inserted into an Acc65I site, 1,173 bp upstream of the endogenous 1.22 MVSG promoter; taking into account the 2.9-kb pBluescript spacer and other sequences in the cassette, this meant that the test promoter was located about 7 kb upstream of the endogenous promoter. The 1.2-kb EcoRI-HindIII fragment encompassing the 1.61 MVSG promoter was able to drive gene expression from the nontranscribed spacer in the rDNA array, albeit at a 5-fold-lower level than the ribosomal promoter integrated at the same location (Fig. 3). Assuming that the degree of green fluorescence within the culture correlates with promoter activity, the activity of the 1.61 MVSG promoter fragment was approximately 20% of the activity seen with a ribosomal promoter integrated at this location. This value falls within the range of activities described for a BES promoter from the rDNA array (from 5% [18] to >100% of the activity of a ribosomal promoter [8]). Our results therefore indicate that, within the 300 bp upstream or the 900 bp downstream of the MVSG transcription initiation site, there is no negative regulatory element causing promoter silencing from chromatin at this stage of the life cycle. On the other hand, only the ribosomal promoter was able to direct transcription within the 1.22 telomere. Both the BES promoter and the 1.61 MVSG promoter were inactive at this gene locus, although both were active when assayed away from it, showing construct integrity. The data are in agreement with the hypothesis that a locus-specific control can mediate transcriptional silencing of MVSG telomeres (15). However, while this control also represses the activity of a BES promoter, it is unable to regulate the activity of a ribosomal promoter integrated into transcriptionally silent chromatin in the 1.22 telomere.

In vitro and in vivo dissection of a core 1.63 MVSG promoter activity in procyclic cells. MVSG promoters have limited identity with BES promoters or with one another (Fig. 4). To test whether MVSG promoter sequence conservation correlates with recruitment of the transcription initiation complex, we employed a previously developed in vitro transcription system for T. brucei (23). This system is based on a cytoplasmic extract prepared from procyclic cells, and it was shown to support
accurate and α-amanitin-resistant transcription of circular template DNA harboring either the rDNA, the procyclin gene, or a BES promoter as well as correctly initiated and α-amanitin-sensitive transcription of the SL RNA gene. The template constructs contain unrelated tag sequences downstream of the transcription initiation sites, and in vitro-transcribed RNA of these templates is detected specifically by primer extension of total-RNA preparations with a 5' end-labeled oligonucleotide hybridizing to the tag. Using a transcription-competent extract from an EATRO 795 procyclic culture, we studied the 1.22, 1.61, 1.63, and 1.64 MVSG promoters and detected specific signals in 1.61 and 1.63 MVSG reactions, establishing MVSG promoter transcription in vitro for the first time (Fig. 5). For both 1.61 and 1.63 MVSG promoters, we accurately mapped the in vitro transcription initiation site and found that it coincided with the same A+1 residue that we had mapped in our analysis of tsetse fly salivary gland trypanosomes (Fig. 5A and B). We tested also one mutant form of the 1.61 MVSG promoter, in which eight conserved residues between positions −46 and −26 had been changed (from AATGTGGTTAA GGCAGATT to cccGTGGTTccGGCAGGccg [changed residues are lowercase]). The mutation abolished in vitro transcription, demonstrating that the conserved sequence represents an essential MVSG promoter element (Fig. 5B, lane 1.61M). Furthermore, transcription from both promoters was resistant to α-amanitin (Fig. 5C and D), indicating that, in addition to appropriate trans-acting factors, the correct RNA polymerase was recruited to the promoter outside its life cycle context.

We then carried out a mutational analysis of the 1.63 MVSG promoter in procyclic cells, making base substitutions along its whole length, encompassing both conserved residues and regions that are divergent in sequence between different MVSG promoters. We chose this promoter because it has high homology with the MVAT7 promoter, which has been subjected to preliminary mutational analysis previously (20). The 1.63 MVSG promoter mutants were then assayed for the ability to drive CAT expression from plasmids transfected into cultured procyclic cells. The results shown in Fig. 6 revealed that mutations from positions bp −26 to −49 and bp −56 to −60, with respect to the transcription start site abolished the activity of the promoter. The more downstream of these regions includes nine residues that are conserved in all of the MVSG promoters that have been identified, whereas the region from bp −56 to −60 is not conserved. While there was not an obvious role for the conserved residues at positions −18 to −16 and −13, the
bases around the transcription start site were important for efficient CAT expression.

**DISCUSSION**

By a number of approaches, including direct observation of transcription start sites in trypanosomes dissected from tsetse flies, accurate in vitro transcription initiation in a procyclic-stage extract, and mutational analysis in the same stage, we show that there is a consensus promoter for the MVSG promoters. The transcription start sites of the BES promoters are also boxed, as are poorly conserved A box-like regions of the MVSG genes. Conserved residues within each group are shown by a dark background. The A and B boxes of the BVSG promoters are boxed, as are poorly conserved A box-like regions of the MVSG promoters. The transcription start sites of the BES promoters are also boxed, as are the start sites identified for MVSGs in any life cycle stage. The means and ranges of identity within and between the two promoter groups are shown to the right. Promoter sequences are from the following sources: GUTat 10.1, 21; ILTat 1.22 and 1.61, 13; MVATs, 20; 4-49, 25; BESs and AnTat 11.17, 37 (accession numbers are within these studies).

Combining the results of all our approaches, including the 5' RACE analysis of salivary gland trypanosomes, the study of in vitro transcription initiation in procyclic cell extracts, and the study of mutated promoter sequences, we conclude that MVSG transcription begins at the A^1 residue of the proposed MVAT series of promoters; data for the 1.61 and 1.63 MVSG genes are shown in Table 1. The abundance of products terminating downstream probably reflects limitations in the 5' RACE technology. These products were of two types. Some presumably represented termination of reverse transcription, possibly due to a persistent secondary structure in the nascent transcript, while others had the spliced leader sequence that is trans-spliced onto trypanosome mature transcripts. We believe that such spliced products represent early splicing events that protect the RNA molecule as transcription proceeds over ~2.8 kb from the start site to the VSG coding sequence.
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incomplete primer extension products were obtained. Another discrepancy from data presented previously by Graham et al. (11, 15) is that MVSG promoters are capable of acting in the procyclic stage when removed from their endogenous loci. We have tested some of the constructs and a very similar procyclic cell line as studied before, but consistently obtained activity; it is not possible to conclude why the difference exists. The conclusion from the present work is that, when located on a plasmid or in the rDNA locus, the MVSG promoter essentially can be as active as other RNA polymerase I promoters. Again, this is consistent with previous findings in other laboratories (1, 25).

Compared with other trypanosome RNA polymerase I promoters, the MVSG promoters are as short as the BES promoters, requiring only up to 67 bp for full activity, at least when tested in the readily available procyclic and bloodstream life cycle stages. BES promoters, although highly conserved at the sequence level, require only two elements, boxes 1 and 2, for transcriptional activity (30, 37). Both boxes are required to form a stable DNA-protein complex in electrophoretic mobility shift assays or to compete with a specific in vitro transcription signal (22, 31), which suggests that they cooperate in the recruitment of trans-acting factors. MVSG promoters appear to have a more complex structure, with elements important for

FIG. 5. Accurate and α-amanitin-resistant in vitro transcription from MVSG promoters in procyclic cell extract. (A and B) Accurate initiation of transcription. In vitro transcription reactions were carried out with template constructs p1.63-trm (1.63), p1.61 M-trm (1.61M), and p1.61-trm (1.61). In a control reaction, vector DNA was transcribed (Ctrl). Transcription signals were obtained from in vitro-synthesized RNA by primer extension reactions with the 5' end-labeled oligonucleotide TAG_PE. For comparison, the p1.63-trm (A) and p1.61-trm (B) DNAs were subjected to linear amplification sequencing using the same oligonucleotide. Primer extension products and sequencing ladders were analyzed in parallel on 6% polyacrylamide–50% urea gels. At the right, the double-stranded sequences surrounding the transcription initiation sites are shown; of these, the left strands are those transcribed and correspond to the sequencing ladders. The start residues at position +1 are aligned with the primer extension signal. (C and D) α-Amanitin-resistant transcription. In vitro transcription of 1.63-trm (C) and 1.61-trm (D) was carried out in the presence of α-amanitin at 0, 5, or 200 μg·ml⁻¹, and the corresponding RNAs were detected as described above. In each reaction, the SLins19 template containing the RNA polymerase II-recruiting SL RNA gene promoter was cotranscribed as a control, and its RNA was detected by primer extension of the 5' end-labeled oligonucleotide Sltag, which is complementary to the SLins19 tag. On the right, the specific signals of 1.63, 1.61, and SLins19 RNAs are indicated, and, on the left, sizes of marker fragments are given.
activity located along the length of the promoter. In a previously published linker scan of the MVAT4 promoter, 10-bp substitutions through the promoter from positions -4 to -63 all resulted in a loss of activity (Fig. 6). Although all of these mutations encompassed at least one of the residues that are conserved in all MVSG promoters, in our linker scan analysis of the 1.63 MVSG promoter using shorter substitutions we could show that only the regions -56 to -60 and -26 to -49 and the initiation region were essential for promoter activity. These include some, but not all, of the residues conserved in all MVSG promoters. From our data and the results from the MVAT4 linker scan, we can conclude that MVSG promoters require for their activity the partially conserved element centered on position -37 and a shorter, less conserved region just further upstream. These perhaps can operate within a series of sequence contexts, depending on the promoter in question. Vanhamme and colleagues (37), by testing for putative box 2 activity in the possible 1.17 MVSG promoter, also showed that the region corresponding to -36 to -26 of the 1.63 MVSG promoter is essential. In terms of promoter activation, we believe that similarities to box 2 or box 1 might not be relevant. Indeed, the greater number of sequences now available reveals that neither box (minimally CCCT and AGGG, respectively, on the sense strand) is conserved in the MVSG promoters (Fig. 4), suggesting that, while common proteins may be recruited, the BES and MVSG promoters also bind their own specific factors within the life cycle context. Assays in the procyclic stage of the life cycle suggest that different MVSG promoters direct varied levels of transcription, but the relevance of this to the metacyclic stage is uncertain. In our in vitro transcription experiments, we saw a good signal from the 1.63 MVSG promoter, a weaker signal from the 1.61 MVSG promoter, but no signals from the MVSG promoters for the 1.22 and 1.64 MVSG genes. This does not correlate, however, with previous observations that, in trypanosomes of the EATRO 795 genotype, 1.22 MVSG (also known as GUTat 7.1) and 1.61 MVSG (GUTat 7.15) are the most commonly activated promoters in metacyclic populations, with 1.63 MVSG (GUTat 7.2) and 1.64 MVSG (GUTat 7.13) appearing at lower frequencies (2). Either the differential-activation levels in the fly are not a consequence of relative promoter affinity for the initiation complex or the in vitro transcription system, using extract from the wrong life cycle stage, does not wholly reflect what occurs in the metacyclic stage. Although the 1.61 MVSG element acts as a core promoter in the assays we used, it is not possible yet to rule out upstream enhancing elements that function in the metacyclic stage.

Defining the molecular mechanisms behind the random activation of MES activation is going to be difficult, but we can perhaps predict some of the general mechanisms that regulate MES silencing in bloodstream and procyclic trypanosomes. Results from our reporter gene experiments indicate that there is no negative cis-acting element within 300 bp upstream or 900 bp downstream of the 1.61 MVSG promoter that represses the activity of the promoter within a chromosomal position in the procyclic stage of the life cycle. A BES promoter integrated upstream of a subtelomeric MES is inactive in the procyclic form, just as it is when integrated upstream of a VSG gene in a BES (17, 18). A ribosomal promoter integrated into the same region upstream of the 1.22 MES is not transcriptionally silent, just as it is not repressed when integrated upstream of a VSG.
in a BES (17, 18). The similarity in the activities of these promoters at two distinct subtelomeric locations suggests that the regulation on chromatin that silences BESs during replicative stages of the life cycle (18, 28) is a global mechanism that extends to all the telomeres and upstream loci on the megabase and intermediate-size chromosomes in T. brucei. This silencing mechanism may fail to regulate the ribosomal promoter because, in contrast to BES and MVSG promoters, it contains also an upstream control element (UCE). It is conceivable that the UCE may recruit chromatin-remodeling activities, thereby facilitating accessibility of the core promoter to RNA polymerase I transcription machinery in a subtelomeric locus that otherwise does not permit transcription. The finding that the rDNA promoter UCE is not important for in vitro transcription in a cell-free system which may not support the formation of a chromatin template in accordance with this hypothesis (22).
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